RESOLUTION NO. 91-226

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL APPROVING THE CITY OF LODI'S 1991-92 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Lodi City Council does hereby approve the City's 1991-92 Transportation Development Act (TDA) claim for Local Transportation Funds (LTF) and State Transit Assistance Funds (STA); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Lodi City Council does hereby authorize the City Manager to execute the subject Claim on behalf of the City of Lodi.

Dated: December 4, 1991

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 91-226 was passed and adopted by the Lodi City Council in a regular meeting held December 4, 1991 by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Hinchman, Pennino, Sieglock, Snider

and Pinkerton (Mayor)

Noes: Council Members - None

Absent: Council Members - None

alice M. Reinche

City Clerk

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

TO:	1860 East Hazelton Avenu Stockton, CA 95205	
FROM:	Applicant: City of Loc	ii
	Address: P. O. Box 3	3006, Lodi, CA 95241
		lenn/Transit (209) 333-6700 ufus/Street Phone: (209) 333-6706
regula	tions, that its annual t	hereby requests, in accordance 1971 and applicable rules and ransportation claim be approved in for fiscal year 1991-92, to be ation Fund.
for particular for pa	ayment. Approval of the or to this applicant is so vailable for distribution	this claim to the County Auditor claim and payment by the County ubject to such monies being on hand a, and to the provisions that such accordance with the terms of the
and thand a aforem	he financial information accurate to the best mentioned information i	nis Local Transportation Fund claim contained therein, is reasonable of my knowledge, and that the indicates the eligibility of this fiscal year of the application and 6734.
APPRO	VED:	Applicant: City of Lodi
San Jo	paquin County Council	Signed: Thos. a. Situson
of Go	overnments	Name: Thomas A. Peterson
Ву:	BARTON MEAYS	Title: City Manager
		Date: December 4 19.91
Title	: Executive Director	
Date:	19	

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE CLAIM

TO:	San Joaquin County Council of Governments 1860 East Hazelton Avenue Stockton, CA 95205					
FROM:	Applicant:	City of Lo	di			
	Address: (City, Zip)	P. O. Box	3006, Lodi, CA 9	5241		
	Contact Person:	Jerry L. G	lenn	Phone: (209) 333-6700		
and 99 accord applic the am drawn	ance with Chapter able rules and reconstruct of \$ 92,916 from the State Transfor the following	ic Utilit 1400, Sta ulations, f nsit Assis	ies Code, her tutes of 1971 that an allo for fiscal yea tance trust fo	99313.6, 99314.5 reby requests, in as amended, and cation be made in ar 1991-92, to be und of San Joaquin llowing respective		
Purposes Amounts						
Dial-A-Ride Transit System \$92,916						
claima for di used of The claima the accuratinform	ant are subject to istribution, and to only in accordance laimant certifies the financial informate to the best of mation indicates the street of the secondance of the second	such moni the prove with the that this Sation cont my knowled e eligibil	es being on hisions that such that such that a state Transit ained herein, lige, and that ity of this control pursuant to	Assistance Fund Claim is reasonable and the aforementioned laimant for funds for CAC Section 6634 and		
	VED: Daquin County Counc Vernments	il	Applicant: Signed: Ihoo Name: Thoma	City of Lodi . G. Seterson		
By:	BARTON MEAYS : Executive Director		Title: <u>City</u> Date: <u>Occur</u>	Manager Lex 4 1991		
Date:	19					

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS

I.	Local Transportation Fund Available Apportionment					
	A.	Area Apportionment 1991-92 \$	1,041,634			
	в.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment	21,701			
	c.	Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	500			
	D.	Unexpended Carryover *	703,275			
	E.	Total Available for 1991-92 Claim(s)	1,767,110			
	F.	Less any LTF Already Claimed 1991-92	0			
	G.	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM \$ (Also enter on page 8, 1st column)	1,767,110			
		(Habe energy on page 6, and column,				
II.	Sta	te Transit Assistance Fund Available Apport	cionment			
	Α.	Area Apportionment 1991-92 \$	48,473			
	В.	Special Operator Apportionment 1991-92	1,174			
	c.	Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	43,269			
	D.	Unexpended Carryover	0			
	E.	Total Available for 1991-92 Claim(s)	92,916			
	F.	Less any STA Already Claimed 1991-92	0			
	G.	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM \$ (Also enter on page 8, 2nd column)	92,916			

^{*} Amount shown as unexpended on July 1, 1991

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATIONS

Claim	Purpose	I.	LTF	II. STA
ı.	PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION			
	Article 4 (99260)-Operator ¹	316	5,759	92,916
	Article 8 (99400(c)) Contractor operating	3(),200	
	Article 8 (99400(e)) Contractor capital	***************************************		
II.	PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE			NT / 75
	Article 3 (99234)	_ 2	1,701	N/A
III.	ROADS AND STREETS			N /3
	Article 8 (99400(a))	1,29	5,200	N/A
IV.	OTHER			
	Article 8 (99400(b) or 99400(d)	-	3,250	N/A
////	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	////	///////////////////////////////////////	///////////////////////////////////////
TOTAI	THIS CLAIM	1,66	7,110	92,916
	L AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM com page 7, I. and II. G.)	1,76	7,110	92,916
	AIMED APPORTIONMENT OTAL AVAIL. less TOTAL THIS CLAIM	1) <u>10</u>	0.000	

IMPORTANT: To avoid accidental overpayment, please identify in the space below any unexpended carryover included in the amounts being claimed above. Identify the amount of carryover and the purpose for which it is being reclaimed.

\$703,275 was carried over for street and road purposes.

^{1.} Operators claiming STA funds must meet qualifying criteria (PUC Section 99314.6). Page 15 of this form must be completed.

PART I - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

	e Circle either: Article 4 Operator)	FINANCIAL INFOR	MATION
	Article 8 Contractor	1990-91	
ı.	OPERATING REVENUE	Please Circle ACTUAL or ESTIMATE	1991-92 Budget
401	Passenger Fares	43,575	48,550
402	Special Transit Fares		
405	Charter Service Revenues		
406	Auxiliary Transportation Revenues (includes advertisi	ng)	
407	Non-Transportation Revenues	3.266	1,000
408	Tax Revenue (Specify:) Property Tax Sales Tax (not TDA)		
409	Local Grants & Reimbursement	:s	
	Purchase of Service Local Transportation Fund(313.050
410	Local Special Fare Assistance	:e	
411	State Cash Grants & Reimburs State Transit Assistance (Other		
412	State Special Fare Assistant	ce	
413	Federal Grants & Reimburseme (Specify) UMTA Grants	ents	
430	Contributed Services (Not Ca	ash)	
440	Subsidy from other Sector of Operations	£	
	TOTAL	286,839	362 600
II.	CAPITAL REVENUE		,
464	Capital Grants & Subsidies Specify Fed, State, Local:		
	State Transit Assistance (S	TA)	92,916
	Local Transportation Fund (LTF) 61,654	3,709
	Non-Governmental Donations		
	TOTAL	61,654	96,625

ARTICLE IV .

III.	OPERATING EXPENSES	1990-91 Please Circle Actual or Estimate	1991-92 Budget
501	Labor Operators Salaries/Wages Other Salaries/Wages	289	7,200
502	Fringe Benefits	76	1,500
503	Services	2,539	3,800
504	Materials/Supplies Fuels/Lubricants Tires/Tubes Other		
505	Utilities		
506	Casualty/Liability Costs	30,414	34,978
507	Taxes		
508	Purchased Transportation Serv	ice <u>244,906</u>	303,100
509	Miscellaneous Expenses	10,187	12,000
510	Expense Transfers		
511	Interest Expense		
512	Leases and Rentals		
513	Depreciation/Amortization Operator Funds Grant Funds		
	TOTAL	288,411	362,600
IV.	CAPITAL EXPENSES* Debt Service		
	Land/Property Acquisition		
	Vehicles	61,654	95,000
	Construction		
	Other(telephone system, radios		1,625
	TOTAL	61,654	96,625

^{*}Allowable capital expenses are limited for Article 8 claimants; see 99400 (e).

PART I - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

Pleas	e Circle either: Article 4 Operator	FINANCIAL INFORM	<u>IATION</u>
	(Article 8 Contractor(1990-91	
ı.	OPERATING REVENUE ACT	Please Circle TUAL or ESTIMATE	1991-92 Budget
401	Passenger Fares	29,200	30,600
402	Special Transit Fares		
405	Charter Service Revenues		
406	Auxiliary Transportation Revenues (includes advertising))	
407	Non-Transportation Revenues		
408	Tax Revenue (Specify:) Property Tax Sales Tax (not TDA)		
409	Local Grants & Reimbursements		
	Purchase of Service Local Transportation Fund(LT	F) 28,707	30,200
410	Local Special Fare Assistance		
411	State Cash Grants & Reimbursem State Transit Assistance (ST. Other		
412	State Special Fare Assistance		
413	Federal Grants & Reimbursement (Specify) UMTA Grants	S	
430	Contributed Services (Not Cash)	4
440	Subsidy from other Sector of Operations		
	TOTAL	57,907	60,800
II.	CAPITAL REVENUE		
464	Capital Grants & Subsidies Specify Fed, State, Local:		
	State Transit Assistance (STA)		
	Local Transportation Fund (LTF	?)	
	Non-Governmental Donations	****	
	TOTAL		

ARTICLE VIII

III.	OPERATING EXPENSES	1990-91 Please Circle 1991-92 Actual or Estimate Budget
501	Labor Operators Salaries/Wages Other Salaries/Wages	
502	Fringe Benefits	
503	Services	
504	Materials/Supplies Fuels/Lubricants Tires/Tubes Other	
505	Utilities	
506	Casualty/Liability Costs	
507	Taxes	
508	Purchased Transportation Serv	ice 57,907 64,600
509	Miscellaneous Expenses	
510	Expense Transfers	
511	Interest Expense	
512	Leases and Rentals	
513	Depreciation/Amortization Operator Funds Grant Funds	
	TOTAL	57,907 64,600
IV.	CAPITAL EXPENSES* Debt Service	
	Land/Property Acquisition	
	Vehicles	
	Construction Other	
	TOTAL	

^{*}Allowable capital expenses are limited for Article 8 claimants; see 99400 (e).

OPERATIONAL INFORMATION*

		Actual FY 1989-90	(Actual)/Est. FY 1990-91	Proposed FY 1991-92
l.	<u>Patronage</u>			
	a. Total Passengers	76,605	85,343	92,600
	b. Revenue Passengers	6,928	8,712	10,500
	c. Youth Passengers			
	d Elderly Passengers	68,610	72,418	76,100
	e. HANKAKARRAM Passengers	2,067	4,213	6,000
2.	<u>Vehicle Miles</u>			
	a. Total Vehicle Miles	156,104	178,488	189,200
	b. Revenue Vehicle Miles			
3.	Revenue Vehicle Hours	13,580	14,930	16,200
4.	Revenue Vehicle Fuel Consumption			
	a. Diesel			
	b. Gasoline	14,183	15,467	16,800
5.	Fare Structure			
	a. Base	.50	.50	.50
	b. Zone			
	c. Youth			
	d. Senior	1.00	1.00	1.00
	e. Handicapped	. 50	. 50	.50
	f. Monthly Pass			
	g. Other			·
	h. Average Fare	. 55	.55	56

^{*}Attach additional pages as necessary to alter or complete description

THREE YEAR FISCAL PLAN

		-				
		1992-93		1993-94	:	1994-95
Operating	Expenses	\$ 406,000	\$	429,000	\$.	452,000
Operating	Revenues:					
Sources:	LTF	\$ 354,000	\$	374,500	\$.	394,750
	STA					
	Federal					
	Fares	51,000	,	53,500		56,250
	General Fund					
	Other (Interest)	1,000		1,000		1,000
Total		\$ 354,000	\$	373,500	\$	394,750
Capital E	xpenses	\$ 75,000	. \$	80,000	. \$	85,000
Capital R	evenue					
Sources:	LTF	\$ 	\$. \$	
	STA	75,000	_	80,000	_	85,000
	Federal		-			
	Other				_	
Total		\$ 75,000	_ \$	_80,000	. \$	85,000

ARTICLE VIII

OPERATIONAL INFORMATION*

		Actual FY 1989-90	Actual/Est. FY 1990-91	Proposed FY 1991-92
ı.	<u>Patronage</u>			
	a. Total Passengers		18,653	19,600
	b. Revenue Passengers		18,653	19,600
	c. Youth Passengers			
	d Elderly Passengers			
	e. Handicapped Passengers			
2.	Vehicle Miles			
	a. Total Vehicle Miles			
	b. Revenue Vehicle Miles			
3.	Revenue Vehicle Hours		8,760	8,760
4.	Revenue Vehicle Fuel Consumption			
	a. Diesel			
	b. Gasoline			
5.	Fare Structure			
	a. Base			
	b. Zone			
	c. Youth			
	d. Senior			
	e. Handicapped			
	f. Monthly Pass			
	g. Other			
	h. Average Fare			•

^{*}Attach additional pages as necessary to alter or complete description

ARTICLE VIII

THREE YEAR FISCAL PLAN

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95
Operating	Expenses	\$ 63,830	\$	67,000	\$	70,400
Operating	Revenues:					
Sources:	LTF	\$ 31,700	\$	33,300	\$	35,000
	STA		-			
	Federal		-			
	Fares	32,130	-	33,700		35,400
	General Fund		_			
	Other		_			
Total		\$ 	\$		\$	
Capital E	Expenses	\$	- \$. \$	
Capital F	Revenue					
Sources:	LTF	\$ 	_ \$, \$	
	STA				-	
	Federal		_		-	
	Other		_		-	
Total		\$ 	_ \$		_ \$	

FLEET INVENTORY (Transit Vehicle Owners Only)

	Production	# of	Fuel	Seat	Spec	cial	Feat	ures
Make & Model	Year	Veh.	Type	Capacity	AC	EP	WC	Other
Chev Sta Wag Chev Sta Wag Chev Sta Wag Dodge Minivan Dodge Sedan Dodge Minivan	1986 1988 1989 1989 1989 1991	1 2 2 1 3 1	Gas Gas Gas Gas Gas	6 6 6 6 5 6	X X X X X		X	
TOTAL	xxxxxxxxx	10	XXXXX					

Vehicles to be Purchased in FY 1991-92

Chevrolet Sta Wagon	1990	1	Gas	5	Χ			
Minivan	1991	2	Gas	12	Х	Х	,	

AC = Air Conditioned

EP = Environmental Package
WC = Wheelchair Lift

Article 4 Operator TDA Requirements

1. Fare Ratio/Local Support Requirements

All Article 4 claimants are required to maintain a specified ratio of fare revenue to operating cost. In addition, SMTD only is required to maintain a ratio of fare revenue plus local support to operating cost of 32%. See 99268.2 - 99268.19 for details and exemptions pertaining to ratios.

Α.	What	is	this	system's	required	farebox	recovery	ratio?

	-	-
	10%	
в.		demonstrate that this system will recovery and for SMTD its farebox Yes
c.	Has this system utilized i	ts grace year?
D.	Has this system been in ratio(s)? No	non-compliance with its required
	If yes, identify the year	or years

2. Extension of Service/New Service

An extension of service or new service is exempt from the required farebox and local support ratios if:

- A. The extension of service or new service has been in operation for less than two full fiscal years. The two-year extension of services exclusion applies until two years after the end of the fiscal year in which the extension of services was put into operation.
- B. The claimant submits a report on the extension of services to the COG within 90 days after the end of the fiscal year. (For details of the report, see 6633.8(b)).

Is an extension of service/new service being claimed? No

If so, has the required report been submitted for the most recently completed full fiscal year? If not, that report must accompany this claim.

3. Operator's STA Qualifying Criteria (99314.6) EXPLANATION

A transit operator must meet one of two efficiency standards before STA funds may be "fully" allocated for operating purposes:

A) The operator's operating cost per revenue vehicle hour, in the latest year for which audited data are available, must not exceed the sum of the preceding year's operating cost per revenue vehicle hour and an amount equal to the change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) multiplied by the preceding year's operating cost per revenue vehicle hour. The formula below accomplishes this exercise:

 $(\text{opcost/RVH})_{\text{FY90}} \leq [(\text{opcost/RVH})_{\text{FY89}}] * [1.048]$ OR

B) The operator's average operating cost per revenue vehicle hour, in the latest three years for which audited data are available, must not exceed the sum of the average of the operating cost per revenue vehicle hour for the three years preceding the latest year for which audited data are available and an amount equal to the average change in the CPI for the same period. The formula below accomplishes this exercise:

 $AVG(opcost/RVH)_{FY88,89,90} \le \{AVG(opcost/RVH)_{FY87,88,89}\} * \{1.049\}$

As used here, Operating Costs are defined by PUC Section 99247:

All costs in the operating expense object classes exclusive of the costs in the depreciation and amortization expense object class, and exclusive of all direct costs for providing charter services, and exclusive of all vehicle lease costs.

STA allows for other exclusions, to be granted by the COG, if deemed appropriate. These additional operating cost exclusions include:

- Exclusion of cost increases beyond the change in the CPI for fuel, alternative fuel programs, insurance, or state and federal mandates.
- 2) Exclusion of startup costs for new services for a period of not more than two years (refer to PUC Section 99268.8 for a definition of new service).

If you wish to claim these exclusions when calculating the operation cost per revenue vehicle hour, you must state the request and show calculations in support of the cost to be excluded.

PUC Section 99314.6 is somewhat obscure about how much STA may be allocated in the event that an operator does not meet the efficiency standards. The legislation says that the funds may not be "fully" allocated, but does not define what is meant by "fully". COG will address this issue if it becomes necessary.

The following documents pertain to the new STA efficiency standards and are available at your request:

- PUC Section 99314.6, also known as Chapter 35 Statutes of 1991 (SB 3-Kopp).
- The Uniform System of Accounts for Public Transit Operators.
- Consumer Price Index Data for California, January, 1981 through May, 1991.
- Transportation Development Act Audit Reports, FY 1987 through FY 1990.

Please complete the attached worksheet to determine if you fully qualify for your STA apportionment. TDA Audit reports will address this efficiency criteria beginning with the Audit for FY 1991.

3. Operator's STA Qualifying Criteria (99314.6) - WORKSHEET

FISCAL YEAR: (use audited data)	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89	1989-90
A. Operating Cost B. Operating Cost Exclusions:	\$	\$	\$ 262,475	\$ 276,385
1 2 3			1,547	1,295 26
C. Adjusted Operati Cost (A-B)	.ng		260,858	275,064
D. Revenue Vehicle Hours (RVH)			12,639	13,580
E. RVH Exclusions: 1 2 3.			393	353
(if more, show on s	separate shee	t)		
F. Adjusted RVH (D-E)			12,246	13,227
G. Operating Cost per RVH (C÷F)		X	21.30 Y	20.80 Z
Efficiency Standard	i 1:			
Z must be less t	than or equal	to (Y)*(1.	048)	
Show calculation:	Y = 22.32			
Efficiency Standard	d 2:			
[(X+Y+Z)÷3] must	t be less tha	an or equal	to $<(W+X+Y)$	-3)>*(1.049)
Show calculation:				•
=======For COG use Operator qualifies		Standard 1 Standard 2		No

4. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase (6632)

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be given below. Attach an extra page if necessary.

(501, 502) Labor costs - Assistant City Manager is spending more time on transit issues. 10% of time is realistic charge.

Ridership increasing as well as increased reimbursement.

- (503) Budgeting costs for additional advertising and New Year's eve free rides.
- 508) Ridership is increasing by 6.7%.

Reimbursement per ride has projected increase to \$3.50 per ride.

5. Narrative Description (6632)

Please describe in the space below any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. This should specifically include any substantial increase or decrease in the geographic area served, major changes to the scope of operations, or addition of major new fixed facilities. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

Dial-A-Ride is reaching its capacity. Demand by public for alternative transportation sources. This is requiring redirection of staff time to this problem.

SPECIAL NOTES FOR RATIO CALCULATIONS

- <u>SMTD</u> Exclude certain costs and fares as specified in the most recent <u>Compliance Audit Report</u>.
- <u>Lodi</u> Exclude County service when calculating fares and expenses.

Article 8 Contractor TDA Requirements

For contracted transportation service providers, the San Joaquin County Council of Governments' Executive Board has waived the farebox and local support ratios as it is empowered to do by 99405(c). The COG Board has established a two-step process.

1. Match Requirement

For any Article 8 transit claim, no more than 90% of the total operating funds (minus depreciation) in the budget may be TDA (LTF and STA) derived. The ten percent or more matching funds may come from any other source available to the claimant besides TDA.

2. Operating Cost Per Passenger Objective

To receive an amount of TDA operating funds (LTF and STA combined) in excess of what was claimed the previous fiscal year, the claimant must establish an operating cost per passenger objective for the fiscal year of the claim. "Operating cost" is defined as in the TDA statutes and regulations. The objective should be a realistic one based on current and past system performance, but should be low enough to represent an "improvement" when warranted. The COG Executive Board will adopt the systemwide operating cost per passenger objective for the fiscal year of the claim.

If the system failed to meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year of the claim, then the claimant is only eligible to file a claim for the level of TDA operating funding received in that prior fiscal year. In the case of a unified transit system, each claimant would be limited to the prior year's level of TDA operating funding. If a system wishes to be eligible for increased TDA operating funding in a future fiscal year, then the claimant should identify an operating cost per passenger objective.

- a. What was the level of TDA operating funding received in the previous fiscal year for this system by this claimant (LTF plus STA)? \$ 28,707
- b. Does the attached budget information demonstrate at least a 10% match of non-TDA funds in FY 1990-91? Yes

Does the FY 1991-92 budget demonstrate a 10% match of non-TDA funds? Yes

- c. Is this claim requesting more TDA operating funds than were received for this system by this claimant in the previous fiscal year?

 Yes
- d. If yes, did the system meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the previous fiscal year? No (An affirmative answer should be documented in Part "e".)

e.	What was	last year's \$2.17	Operating	Cost	per	Passenger
	Objective	<u>\$2.17 </u>				

What was the actual operating cost per passenger?

- i. FY 1990-91 Operating Cost \$ 54,272
- ii. Total Passengers _____18,653
- iii. Operating Cost Per Passenger
 (i /ii) \$ 2.91
- f. What is the Operating Cost per Passenger Objective for this claim?
 - iv. Budgeted Operating Cost \$ 60,800
 - v. Estimated Total Passengers 19,600
 - vi. Projected Operating Cost
 per Passenger (iv/v) \$ 3.10
 - vii. FY 1991-92 OPERATING COST PER
 PASSENGER OBJECTIVE \$ 3.10
 - viii. If this claim is for a unified transit system³, has the contributing claimant been appraised of the planned systemwide objective set in vii. above?

3. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase (6632)

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be given below. Attach an additional page if necessary.

4. Narrative Description (6632)

Please describe on an attached page any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. This should specifically include any substantial increase or decrease in the geographic area served, major changes to the scope of operations, or addition of major new fixed facilities.

^{3.} If this claim is for a unified transit system (definition page 19), all calculations and numbers for operating costs per passenger must include system totals. Also contributing claimants to unified transit systems should not use page 17 or 18, use page 19 instead.

ARTICLE 8 CONTRACTOR TDA REQUIREMENTS (CONTRIBUTING CLAIMANTS)

In the case of a "unified transit system," this page is to be used by the "contributing claimant" rather than pages 17 and 18. A "unified transit system" is defined as one which has the same fare structure throughout the service area, but whose TDA expenses are claimed separately by two different TDA claimants. Additionally, to qualify as a unified transit system, all system TDA funding must be claimed under Article 8 (both claimants). "Contributing claimant" is defined as the claimant contributing a minority of the unified transit system's TDA funds. The claimant furnishing the majority of TDA funds is defined as the "primary claimant."

Currently, the following local transit services qualify as unified transit systems:

	FY_	1990-91 Unified Transit Systems	This Page Used by:
		Tracy Trans	County
		Tracy Taxi	County
		Escalon Public Transit System	County
I.	1.	Name of unified transit system _	
	2.	Systemwide operating cost per parts 1991-92 identified in primary colaim (from that claim, page 18,	laimant's adopted transit
	3.	Date of primary claimant's adanticipated future date,	

IMPORTANT:

The operating cost per passenger objective identified above (I. 2) will be applied uniformly to the total of City and County TDA funds used by the unified transit system, to determine eligibility for increased TDA funding as explained on page 17. Separate calculations will not be done for City and County.

PART II - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROJECTS

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project	Limits	<u>LTF Cost</u> Total Cost
Sidewalk Upgrading Program	various	locations	\$21,700 50,000
	TO	LTF COST	21,700 50,000

(Use additional page if necessary)

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS **

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND								
LTF Cost								
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost						
*Hutchins Street Overlay *Sacramento Street Overlay *Church Street Improvements *Cherokee Lane RR Crossing Protect *Mills/Kettleman, Hutchins/Vine & Church Street Traffic Signals *Mills Avenue Overlay	Pine to Lockeford Lockeford to Turner Century to Kettleman . Lodi to Elm	76,000 173,000 65,000 20,000 4,000 15,000						
*Overlay Analysis *Pacific Ave./Oak St. Overlay *Ham Lane Overlay *Hutchins Street Widening *Cherokee Lane/Hale Road Flashing Beacon	Kettleman to Vine Lodi to Pine	6,000 13,000 10,000 270,000						
Miscellaneous Widening Miscellaneous Sidewalk Replacement Handicap Ramp Installation Miscellaneous Traffic Improvements Pleasant Ave. Sidewalk Almond Drive Street Widening Cherokee Lane Overlay Church St. Overlay Lockeford St. Overlay Lodi Avenue Overlay Pine Street Overlay Stockton Street - Prel. Eng Overlay Traffic Signal - location to be	various locations	50,000 28,000 10,000 15,000 50,000 17,000 84,000 89,000 21,000 153,000 70,000 10,000 54,000 110,000						
determined when CIP is adopted Street Maintenance St. Division Loader/Backhoe	City-wide	237,000 45,200						
*Work in progress ** Street CIP has not been adopte	d - some of these new pro	jects could change						
	LTF COS TOTAL COS	1 705 000						

(Use additional page if necessary)

PART IV - OTHER PURPOSES

It is possible that a claimant may wish to expend TDA funds for purposes allowed within the Act, but not covered by the three previous parts. For instance, TDA funds may be claimed under Article 8, 99400(b) to subsidize AMTRAK service in a community, or under 99400(d) for administration and planning costs for Article 8 transit services. To complete this section, please identify the project, the purpose of the project, the estimated cost, and the fund from which money is being claimed. It is advisable to communicate with COG staff before completing this section.

The San Joaquin County Council of Governments has received a grant to conduct a City-wide transit needs assessment. The City is required to match a portion of these costs: \$3,250.00 (Local Transportation Fund)